



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,781	05/02/2001	Steven J. Hulai	92509-3	4596

22463 7590 10/11/2007  
SMART AND BIGGAR  
438 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 1500 BOX 111  
TORONTO, ON M5G2K8  
CANADA

EXAMINER
----------

LE, DEBBIE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2168

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/11/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/846,781

Applicant(s)

HULAI ET AL.

Examiner

DEBBIE M. LE

Art Unit

2168

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DEBBIE M. LE. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Peter A. Elyjw. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 02 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The parties discussed the differences between wireless device of Paul (Fig. 1a) and instant application's wireless device. Examiner suggested applicant filed a response after final and present he same argument that has been discussed during the interview so that the examiner can fully reconsider applicant's argument and the finality of the office action should be withdrawn.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



DEBBIE LE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

W/ 9/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required